

2. (cancelled)

3. (cancelled)

4. (cancelled)

5. (cancelled)

6. (cancelled)

Furthermore, I do not agree with the examiner's findings with respect to his comparison of my invention's swivel (Fig. 1, 50) to that of Root (Fig. 21, 460 and 462). Reference numeral 460 is clearly called out to be a ratchet and reference 462 is said to be a pawl. Therefore, there is clearly a structural as well as manipulative difference compared to prior art. Also, I do not agree with the examiner's findings because my invention, as claimed, was not shown by any one reference.

My intention with this invention is to facilitate the task of scrubbing the surfaces of a bathtub by the average person and, more importantly, by the person who possibly cannot get on all fours to accomplish said task due to physical limitations. My swivel design allows the user to easily orient the brush to clean all of the bathtub's surfaces by gliding into numerous angular positions. The user need only push the scrubbing brush around with simple hand pressure to its handle. The rotating brush and swivel accomplish the task of reaching and cleaning all of the bathtub's surfaces more readily and easily. This invention could, through its ease of manipulation, allow the task of scrubbing one's bathtub to be more efficient and less stressful physically.

I thank you for your consideration.

Very truly yours,



Carmela L. Belcastro

CLB/os